



MEETING : JOINT CCTV EXECUTIVE
VENUE : COUNCIL CHAMBER, DANESHILL HOUSE,
DANESTRETE, STEVENAGE
DATE : MONDAY 21 MARCH 2022
TIME : 7.00 PM

MEMBERS

East Herts Council

Councillors P Boylan, A Curtis and G Williamson

Hertsmere Council

Councillors P Choudhury, J Newmark and A Spencer

North Herts Council

Councillors I Albert, J Billing and S North

Stevenage Council

Councillors J Hollywell, R Henry and J Lloyd

CONTACT OFFICER: PETER MANNINGS

01279 502174

PETER.MANNINGS@EASTHERTS.GOV.UK

Disclosable Pecuniary Interests

A Member, present at a meeting of the Authority, or any committee, sub-committee, joint committee or joint sub-committee of the Authority, with a Disclosable Pecuniary Interest (DPI) in any matter to be considered or being considered at a meeting:

- must not participate in any discussion of the matter at the meeting;
- must not participate in any vote taken on the matter at the meeting;
- must disclose the interest to the meeting, whether registered or not, subject to the provisions of section 32 of the Localism Act 2011;
- if the interest is not registered and is not the subject of a pending notification, must notify the Monitoring Officer of the interest within 28 days;
- must leave the room while any discussion or voting takes place.

Public Attendance

East Herts Council welcomes public attendance at its meetings and meetings will continue to be live streamed and webcasted. For further information, please email democraticservices@eastherts.gov.uk or call the Council on 01279 655261 and ask to speak to Democratic Services. The Council operates a paperless policy in respect of agendas at committee meetings and the Council will no longer be providing spare copies of Agendas for the Public at Committee Meetings. The mod.gov app is available to download for free from app stores for electronic devices. You can use the mod.gov app to access, annotate and keep all committee paperwork on your mobile device. Visit <https://www.eastherts.gov.uk/article/35542/PoliticalStructure> for details.

Audio/Visual Recording of meetings

Everyone is welcome to record meetings of the Council and its Committees using whatever, non-disruptive, methods you think are suitable, which may include social media of any kind, such as tweeting, blogging or Facebook. However, oral reporting or commentary is prohibited. If you have any questions about this please contact Democratic Services (members of the press should contact the Press Office). Please note that the Chairman of the meeting has the discretion to halt any recording for a number of reasons, including disruption caused by the filming or the nature of the business being conducted. Anyone filming a meeting should focus only on those actively participating and be sensitive to the rights of minors, vulnerable adults and those members of the public who have not consented to being filmed.

AGENDA

1. Appointment of Chair

To agree the appointment of Chair for this meeting.

2. Apologies for Absence and Declarations of Interest

3. Minutes - CCTV Committee - 18 November 2018 (Pages 7 - 20)

To approve as a correct record the Minutes of the meeting of the CCTV Committee held on 18 November 2021.

4. CCTV Operations and Performance Report (Pages 21 - 40)

To receive an Operations Report from the CCTV Manager.

5. Urgent Part 1 Business

To consider any Part 1 business accepted by the Chair as urgent.

6. Exclusion of Press and Public

To consider the following motions –

1. That under Section 100(A) of the Local Government Act 1972, the press and public be excluded from the meeting for the following items of business on the grounds that they involve the likely disclosure of exempt information as described in paragraphs 1 – 7 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Act as amended by Local Government (Access to Information) (Variation) Order 2006.
2. That Members consider the reasons for the following reports being in Part II and determine whether or not maintaining the

exemption from disclosure of the information contained therein outweighs the public interest in disclosure.

7. Company Business Plan - Presentation

Verbal Report.

8. CCTV Partnership Expansion Opportunity Assessment (Pages 41 - 48)

To receive an update on the work that the Officer Management Board has undertaken.

9. Urgent Part 2 Business

To consider any Part 2 business accepted by the Chair as urgent.

This page is intentionally left blank

MINUTES OF A MEETING OF THE
JOINT CCTV EXECUTIVE HELD IN THE
COUNCIL CHAMBER, WALLFIELDS,
HERTFORD ON THURSDAY 18
NOVEMBER 2021, AT 7.00 PM

<u>PRESENT:</u> <u>Stevenage</u> <u>Council</u>	Councillor Hollywell
<u>North Herts</u> <u>Council</u>	Councillors Clark,
<u>East Herts</u> <u>Council</u>	Councillors P Boylan and A Curtis
<u>Hertsmere</u> <u>Council</u>	Councillor Newmark

OFFICERS IN ATTENDANCE:

Mike Bourne	- Control Room Manager
Jonathan Geall	- Head of Housing and Health
Rob Gregory	- Assistant Director (Communities and Neighbourhoods)
Peter Mannings	- Democratic Services Officer
Katie Mogan	- Democratic Services Manager
Sarah Pateman	- Community Safety Manager
Mike Read	- Operations Manager

1 APPOINTMENT OF CHAIRMAN

It was moved by Councillor Hollywell and seconded by Councillor Clark, that Councillor Boylan be appointed Chairman for the meeting. After being put to the meeting and a vote taken, the motion was declared CARRIED.

RESOLVED – that Councillor Boylan be appointed Chairman for the meeting.

2 APOLOGIES

Apologies for absence were received from Councillor Williamson (East Herts), Councillors Lloyd and Henry (Stevenage), Councillors Billing and Albert (North Herts) and Councillors Choudhury and Spencer (Hertsmere).

3 CHAIRMAN'S ANNOUNCEMENTS

There were no Chairman's announcements.

4 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

There were no declarations of interest.

5 MINUTES - 19 NOVEMBER 2020

Councillor Curtis proposed, and Councillor Newmark seconded a motion that the minutes of the meeting held on 19 November 2020 be approved as a correct record. On being put to the meeting and a vote taken, the motion was declared CARRIED.

RESOLVED – that the minutes of the meeting held on 19 November 2020 be approved as a correct record.

6 CCTV OPERATIONS REPORT

The Operations Manager presented the report to the Committee. He said that the partnership continued to look to improve standards across the whole partnership and was looking at alternative ways of working to help alleviate budgets. He informed Members that the partnership had four RIPA requests from the police and had no data breaches.

The Operations Manager said that the team had trialled downloading CCTV footage via a link which was successful but were now waiting for the police to provide the team with the relevant protocols to launch the service. He explained that currently, police must attend the Control Room to download footage onto a disc.

The Operations Manager further reported that the number of mobile cameras across the partnership was increasing and Hertsmeres had recently purchased five cameras. He updated Members on the ShopWatch and PubWatch radio link which was now digitalised and working well in seven towns that were monitored. There were 250 radio users which helped with continuity within those towns and was something the partnership would like to expand.

The Operations Manager invited all Members of the Committee to the Control Room to see how it operates.

Councillor Curtis referred to Appendix A of the report which stated that there were no proposed changes to the Code of Conduct. He asked the Operations Manager if he was confident that the operations in the CCTV service were as good as they could be.

The Operations Manager said there were no major amendments to the Code except the change in the

reporting system that the Control Room use.

Councillor Newmark thanked the Officer for the informative report. He asked if the Officers could explain more about the protocols that they were waiting on from the police in order to move to a cloud based file sharing system. He said that he recalled it being an issue 18 months ago and the Constabulary regularly reported to the Hertsmere Scrutiny Committee about their frustrations at not being able to download footage easily. He asked when the issue would be sorted.

The Control Room Manager said that the service had proven that they can upload footage to a secure server but the server needed to be provided by the police alongside any protocol for sending the correct links to load to a secure file system. He said the system needed to conform to the relevant GDPR protocols and enquiries had been made but he had not received any response to date.

The Operations Manager added that the review was now with a Sergeant who was looking at resourcing a full time member of staff to the downloading suite. There was currently only one part time member of staff manning the suite who carries out all the downloading of footage for the whole of the Hertfordshire Police Service. It was a police issue but one that the team were trying to move forward.

Councillor Boylan asked if the issue could be escalated to ensure it was resolved quickly.

The Operations Manager confirmed that the team were in dialogue with the individual officer at the police responsible for the system.

Councillor Newmark asked for clarification on what the GDPR issues were. He said that if the CCTV partnership had footage that could aid police with their criminal investigation then it seemed frustrating that there was not an option to download it remotely.

The Control Room Manager explained that all CCTV footage had to be accounted for. In a criminal investigation, it must be proven in a Court of Law that it had been downloaded from a secure system. It is a requirement to account for how much footage was downloaded, the time and date and the reason for obtaining the footage. This was achieved by writing an incident log when the footage was downloaded otherwise it could be thrown out in Court. He said that the concept had been proved with the road policing department but need to have it implemented across the whole constabulary.

Councillor Clark said that the police needed to prove a chain of evidence in order to prosecute. He referred to the replacement cameras mentioned in the report and asked if they would be Regulation 10 cameras that could be used for parking enforcement.

The Operations Manager said he would give a written response to the question about the new cameras.

Councillor Clark said that he had raised it previously and referred to Transport for London who uses their cameras for issuing penalty notices.

The Control Room Manager explained that Officers had to have a specific licence to enforce parking penalties.

Councillor Curtis said that he was pleased to see the service were planning to recruit more Independent Inspectors and asked if the Officers could remind the Committee as to what their role is.

The Operations Manager explained that the Independent Inspectors were volunteers that come into the Control Room to watch random CCTV footage to check what the operator has recorded and check it was appropriate for the scenario. The Inspectors complete a report and submit it to show what footage they have watched and what they have seen. The service was suspended over the lockdown periods but it was now continuing.

Councillor Curtis said he understood that the age group of the Inspectors meant they would have been unable to carry out the role during the lockdowns but was disappointed the service was suspended as the oversight was important.

Councillor Clark asked for clarification on the position of the inspectors and what the cost was.

The Operations Manager said the Inspectors were volunteers with a full DBS check. A donation of £10 is given to a local charity for their time.

The Committee noted the report.

7 MANAGEMENT BOARD REPORT

The Assistant Director for Communities and Neighbourhoods (Stevenage Borough Council) presented

the report to Members. He said that that the Officer Board from each of the four councils meet on a quarterly basis in between the Joint Executive meetings. At the last meeting, Members were concerned about the increasing costs of the partnership so an immediate review into costs was held in relation to operations and how savings could be made. The CCTV Group Manager at Stevenage Borough Council retired and the decision was made not to replace the role and restructure the team which had a financial saving. The Board also commissioned a review into neighbouring districts CCTV provision with exploratory work around potential expansion of the partnership. The review has looked beyond Hertfordshire into surrounding counties. Some of the conversations have progressed and a detailed set of proposals would be brought in front of the committee at a future meeting.

The Assistant Director also highlighted to Members the position of the Town Councils in East Herts which have served notice on their intention to withdraw whilst they carry out a procurement exercise to ensure they were getting best value for money.

Following from the minutes of the last meeting, the Assistant Director explained that there had been some discussion around the progression of the company and investment in business development management. The Officer Board met with the Company Board to understand where the work was going and have encouraged them to present to the Committee at a future meeting.

Councillor Boylan referred to the action points in the last set of minutes not being actioned. He was disappointed that there was no evidence of progression presented to the Committee and did not feel there was any urgency to

progress. He said that the Committee had been made aware of the Town Councils intention to withdraw and the partnership cannot assume that they would get the business back.

The Assistant Director for Communities and Neighbourhoods (Stevenage Borough Council) said that the partnership could request that the company provide information and a report but they do not have any control over them. He said that he understood that work was going on and could push for it to be shared with the Committee. Officers were not responsible for writing the business plans as it was a Director responsibility but they had looked a partnership expansion to understand the CCTV service in other Districts. He said the partnership had met with the Chief Finance Officers across Hertfordshire to discuss the financial implications. He said that time was critical, especially with the potential loss of the Town Council cameras and the partnership cannot be complacent and needed to act more commercial.

Councillor Newmark said that the Hertsmere Scrutiny Committee had decided to open a scrutiny review into CCTV to include the operation of the partnership. He felt that the group was opaque, hard to understand and too complicated that it was hampering progress. He said that the Committee have not been presented with an update from the last meeting 12 months ago and no representative of the company was at the meeting to ask questions of. He said that he could see the business case for expansion but expressed a fear that it might lead to dilution of the partnership with less focus and less local knowledge. He also asked what the financial implications were of the loss of the Town Council cameras.

The Head of Housing and Health (East Herts Council) said that there were 30 cameras within the Town Councils which equated to £65k in payments.

The Assistant Director for Communities and Neighbourhoods (Stevenage Borough Council) said that the Committee asked for a governance review due to the confusion between the company and the partnership. The recommendation of that review was that the public realm cameras for each council were to be managed within the partnership and should be owned by the partnership and third party contracts would be managed through the company. He said that there was an opportunity through the procurement exercise with the Town Councils to look at building in variation clauses to allow for a variation in costs related to level of activity. He added that it would make sense to have other authorities on board due to the close relationship with the police. There was a lack in a contribution to the CCTV service from the police who benefit the most from it and the additional authorities coming on board would strengthen the case for a police contribution for crime prevention and detection.

Councillor Curtis said that the company should be serving the interests of its owners, the four councils in the partnership. He said that he was pleased to hear the proposals were being progressed.

The Head of Housing and Health (East Herts Council) said that he heard the frustrations from Members about the company. He said that the remit of the Joint Executive Committee was not the oversight of the company. The four councils each have a representative on the Board of Directors which liaises between councils through the shareholders. He suggested that councils may wish to

interact with their shareholder representatives about their frustrations and concerns.

Councillor Boylan said he had been a member of the Committees for two and a half years and said the same plans were presented every time yet the partnership contains the same four councils and has not grown as anticipated.

Councillor Hollywell said she understood the frustrations but said it was a separate conversation to be had in a separate place. She asked if the withdrawal of the 30 cameras by the Town Councils was a potential withdrawal or would definitely be withdrawn.

Councillor Boylan said the Town Councils were required to give notice of their intention to withdraw. They have given that notice whilst they carry out a procurement exercise. They might be looking for a bid from the current provider and they might find that it is best value for money.

Councillor Newmark said that he appreciated the separate governance and lines of accountability but was disappointed that no one from the company was in attendance at the meeting and said that Members should be able to talk to them directly.

The Assistant Director for Communities and Neighbourhoods (Stevenage Borough Council) said that the company has to be accountable to the shareholders. Each council nominates a shareholder representative to ensure the council's interests were represented. He said that there was a clear opportunity to have a standing item on the agenda to invite the company to every meeting to give an update.

The Head of Housing and Health (East Herts Council) said that the governance review did have a number of ideas about setting up an advisory group with Members involved but at the time, the decision was not to set it up. He suggested that it might be time to revisit and look again at the remit of the group and whether they could act as advisors.

Councillor Newmark said that he felt it was getting over complicated. He said that the Joint Executive Committee should be able to scrutinise. He suggested looking at the group's terms of reference and include a standing invitation to the Directors of the company to attend the meeting and be held accountable.

Councillor Boylan felt that was a logical solution to the frustrations expressed.

Councillor Clark felt it should be a requirement to attend, not an invitation. He said he had no problem with expansion and Highways England had 1000 cameras across multiple counties and said that the partnership should be grown sensibly.

The Head of Housing and Health (East Herts Council) said that there could be a shareholder agreement that laid out the expectations on both sides and the agreement could include a line that the group expect attendance. The Committee could task Officers to go away and work on amendments to the Terms of Reference and enshrine in a shareholder agreement.

Councillor Clark asked for a timescale on this.

Councillor Boylan suggested the Committee meet again in February.

Councillor Curtis proposed, and Councillor Clark seconded a motion supporting the recommendations in the report. On being put to the meeting and a vote taken, the motion was declared CARRIED.

RESOLVED - That

- (A) the Committee notes the work carried out by the Officer Management Board since the last meeting of the Joint Executive.
- (B) the Committee notes the service planning and budgetary estimates being proposed for 2022/23.
- (C) the Committee notes the notice served by East Herts District Council on cameras within the Partnership.
- (D) a further report is presented to the next Joint Executive to present expansion opportunities to introduce new partner councils and cameras into the CCTV Partnership.

8 DATE OF NEXT MEETING

The Democratic Services teams from the four authorities to liaise with each other to set a date for the next meeting in February 2022.

9 URGENT BUSINESS

There was no urgent business.

The meeting closed at 8.16 pm

Chairman

Date

This page is intentionally left blank

Part I –



Meeting CCTV Joint Executive

Portfolio Area Community Safety, Communities and Equalities

Date 21st March 2022



CCTV OPERATIONS AND PERFORMANCE REPORT

Authors Sarah Pateman – Community Safety Manager

Lead Officer Rob Gregory – AD- Communities & Neighbourhoods

Contact Officer Mike Read – CCTV Operations Manager

1 PURPOSE

- 1.1 To outline the performance and work of the CCTV Control Room to date and the emerging priorities for 2022/23 .

2 RECOMMENDATIONS

- 2.1 The Joint Executive is asked to:
- 2.2 Note the performance of the CCTV partnership to date and note the outcomes as documented, together with the priorities for the CCTV Action Plan 2022/23.
- 2.3 Approve the implementation of a new performance dashboard, subject to feedback.

3 BACKGROUND

- 3.1 An overview of CCTV Operations is reported to the Joint Executive as part of the agreed reporting cycle for CCTV Governance. During 2021/22 a review of

operational performance has been driven recognising emerging local and national agendas and the importance of producing strong performance data for partner councils. As a consequence the following operational objectives have been agreed:

1. Intelligence - To have a better understanding of the requirements and needs of partners in respect of the use of CCTV and how it relates to tackling crime and ASB.
 2. Expand the Partnership - To meet with other districts to discuss their CCTV requirements and expand the partnership.
 3. Communications - To communicate with partners, members of the public and governing bodies to reassure safety in and around the areas/locations covered by our CCTV provision.
- 3.2 The CCTV Control Room has been at the heart of respective councils community safety measures and maintains a critical operational link with Hertfordshire Police who remain the biggest user of the service.
- 3.3 CCTV has been used across the partnership to safeguard vulnerable residents and visitors to the County. Safeguarding which includes our Night Time Economy will continue to be highlighted as an area that CCTV is used to support our communities, residents and visitors. The Control Room will continue to work in partnership with the police and other partners to ensure we have a joined up approach to tackling crime and ASB and helping the community to feel safe.
- 3.4 In order to continue to improve CCTV Operations a number of activities have been taken forward in recent months. These are outlined in the following paragraphs.
- 3.5 An operational action plan has been identified to ensure partner requirements are progressed in a timely way and managed accordingly. The action plan will provide details about how the priorities will be addressed by the CCTV partnership. It records actions that are conducted through partnership working, which are documented through the quarterly CCTV Partnership Officers Board meeting.
- 3.6 The action plan is a 'live' document and is to be assessed regularly by the CCTV Partnership Officers Board. The key focus is that actions are SMART and can realistically be achieved within the time and resourcing boundaries available. The plan is currently in its draft stage and is scheduled for completion by April.
- 3.7 Over 2021/22 the Control Room has responded to partner requirements such as the incorporation of cameras funded through Stevenage's Housing Revenue Account and Hertsmeres's successful bid to the Home Office Safer Streets fund.
- 3.8 Officers have also been liaising with police colleagues regarding the Digital Asset Management System project which is a cloud-based link between the Police Downloading suite and the Force Control Room. The police have nominated a lead for the project. The biggest advantage will be that the system will cut out some of the demand of transporting optical drives from the base to Police Stations.

- 3.9 The Partnership has not received any applications for RIPAs since the last report to the Joint Executive in November but we have been made aware of RIPA applications that have been requested by partnership local authorities. There have also been a number of requests for mobile CCTV cameras across the partnership areas. All of the requests have been carried out in line with the Code of Practice and are subject to internal audit checks.
- 3.10 There have been no Data Protection Act/GDPR breaches or complaints. The partnership has appointed 4 further Data Controllers; previously the partnership only had 1 Data Controller. Having more Data Controllers will ensure greater resilience regarding urgent viewing requests. .
- 3.11 A customer feedback process has been established and a satisfaction survey has been formatted and will be completed with partners and members of the public who speak to the operatives in the Control Room. The feedback will be used to identify areas for future improvement. The form will also include a question inviting the opportunity to be considered as a CCTV Inspector.
- 3.12 The CCTV Inspector programme has been a core component of the Hertfordshire CCTV Partnership since its establishment and invites resident volunteers to undergo appropriate vetting and training to undertake spot checks on CCTV operations and compliance in line with the Code of Practice. The programme ceased during the pandemic and the service will now undertake a recruitment drive to attract new Inspectors.
- 3.13 The CCTV team have completed training around GDPR, safeguarding, domestic abuse awareness, hate crime and PREVENT during 2021/22.
- 3.14 A revised performance dashboard has been prepared to enable district partners to better assess incident and crime trends. This is presented for Joint Executive Members to consider (Appendix A). More detailed data by district can be provided to individual partners as required.

4 REASONS FOR RECOMMENDED COURSE OF ACTION AND OTHER OPTIONS

- 4.1 The Code of Practice requires that the Joint Executive receives reports on any breaches to the code of practice, including those relating to GDPR/ Data Protection. The Code also requires the reporting of RIPA requests to the committee. There have been no issues in these areas since the last Joint Executive meeting.
- 4.2 The Officer Management Board maintain operational oversight over the CCTV Operations and continue to meet quarterly to ensure the actions and activities identified in this report are delivered effectively.
- 4.3 The report is presented to the Joint Executive Committee to provide an operational update on activities relating to the CCTV Operations and in order to gain feedback on the proposed performance dashboard (Appendix A).

5 IMPLICATIONS

5.1 Financial Implications

5.2 There are no financial implications arising from this report.

5.3 Legal Implications

5.4 There are no legal implication arising from this report and the report is delivered in line with the CCTV Code of Practice.

5.5 Risk Implications

5.6 Operational risks relating to reliance on one data controller for CCTV have been further mitigated by training other members of the Stevenage Community Safety team

5.7 .An up-to-date CCTV Code of Practice ensures risks are appropriately reduced through robust operational governance.

5.8 An internal operational audit has been programmed for quarter 3 2022/23.

5.9 Community Safety Implications

5.10 The provision of CCTV across the respective council areas is in response to duties in relation to community safety and reassurance. Individual councils determine appropriate community safety measures for their areas in partnership with the Police and other agencies through Responsible Authority Groups in each district.

Equalities and Diversity Implications

5.11 Respective council's duties under the Equality Act 2010 will continue to be discharged through the provision of CCTV through the CCTV Partnership.

Information Technology Implications

5.12 There are no IT implications for the CCTV Control Room in the implementation of the Police's Data Asset Management System..

Background documents

1.1 All documents that have been used in compiling this report, that may be available to the public, i.e. they do not contain exempt information, should be listed here:

Appendices


A Performance Dashboard Powerpoint

Jan-Dec 2021

Overview of statistics for the
Hertfordshire CCTV Partnership Control
Room Operations
DRAFT PERFORMANCE DASHBOARD
Stevenage, North Herts, East Herts and
Hertsmere Councils

Incident Data


The CCTV Control Room recorded 5136 Incidents in 2021 Relating to CCTV
This was an increase from 2825 incidents in 2020



2020	2021
------	------


TOTAL	2825	5136
-------	------	------

INCIDENT CATEGORY SPLIT FOR PARTNERSHIP AREAS



Incident Statistics By Partner


Partner	Total Incidents
Stevenage	1634
North Herts	983
East Herts	1123
Hertsmere	704



Police Footage Request Data

The CCTV Control Room recorded a total of 1074 incidents in 2021 Relating to Police Download Requests

This was an increase from 810 incidents in 2020



2020	2021
TOTAL	1074


Stevenage Incidents

All Stats relate to incidents in Stevenage including

- Town Centre
- Neighbourhood Centres
- Old Town
- Residential Blocks

Category Name	No. of Incidents
Abduction	1
Alarm Activation	25
Anti Social Behaviour	300
Arson/Fire	12
Assault	105
Bomb Threat/Suspect Package	2
Breach	13
Burglary	16
Concern for Welfare	250
COVID19 Related	8
Criminal Damage	13
Deceased	2
Deception/Fraud	10
Domestic	31
Drug Related	30
Fly Tipping	2
Hate Crime	3
Other	9
Police Request	91
Post Event Viewing	366
Suspicious Activity	106
Theft	93
Traffic Incident	23
Unauthorised Access (Trespass)	19
Vehicle	53
Weapon	51

Stevenage Incidents by Category




North Herts Incidents

All Stats relate to incidents in the towns of

- Hitchin
- Letchworth
- Baldock
- Royston
- Knebworth

Category Name	No. of Incidents
Alarm Activation	20
Anti Social Behaviour	219
Arson/Fire	7
Assault	61
Bomb Threat/Suspect Package	1
Breach	4
Burglary	12
Concern for Welfare	164
COVID19 Related	11
Criminal Damage	13
Deceased	1
Deception/Fraud	3
Domestic	18
Drug Related	18
Emergency Incident	1
Environmental	2
Hate Crime	1
Other	10
Police Request	19
Post Event Viewing	222
Suspicious Activity	53
Theft	35
Traffic Incident	28
Unauthorised Access (Trespass)	7
Vehicle	35
Weapon	18

North Herts Incidents By Category




East Herts Incidents

- All Stats relate to incidents in the towns of
- Hertford
 - Ware
 - Bishops Stortford
 - Stanstead Abbots

Category Name	No. of Incidents
Alarm Activation	16
Anti Social Behaviour	221
Arson/Fire	8
Assault	121
Bomb Threat/Suspect Package	1
Breach	8
Burglary	9
Concern for Welfare	97
COVID19 Related	10
Criminal Damage	19
Deception/Fraud	7
Domestic	18
Drug Related	41
Emergency Incident	2
Lone Worker	2
Other	4
Police Request	71
Post Event Viewing	311
Suspicious Activity	47
Theft	31
Traffic Incident	22
Training	1
Unauthorised Access (Trespass)	4
Vehicle	23
Weapon	29

East Herts Incidents By Category




Hertsmere Incidents

All Stats relate to incidents in the towns of

- Borehamwood
- Bushey
- Potters Bar

Category Name	No. of Incidents
Abduction	2
Alarm Activation	7
Anti Social Behaviour	93
Arson/Fire	6
Assault	50
Breach	1
Burglary	4
Concern for Welfare	79
COVID19 Related	5
Criminal Damage	15
Deception/Fraud	8
Domestic	11
Drug Related	38
Fly Tipping	4
Hate Crime	1
Police Request	49
Post Event Viewing	164
Suspicious Activity	38
Theft	37
Traffic Incident	11
Unauthorised Access (Trespass)	3
Vehicle	46
Weapon	32

Hertsmere Incidents By Category



Call Sources

The CCTV Control Room incidents come from a number of different sources as explained in this slide

Controller: the CCTV Controller has monitored this incident pro-actively

Lone Worker: A lone worker has called in asking for a timed call back as they are visiting a premises

Police Airwaves: A call via the Police Airwaves Radio to the control room


Police Control: The Police Control Room Operator has called the CCTV Control Room via the telephone

Post Event Viewing: The call is regarding a historical incident

Town Link: A call from a member of the Town Link or Pub Link Radio Scheme in the respective town.

Partnership Incidents by Call Source

Source	Count
Controller	525
Lone Worker	3
Police Airwaves	1991
Police Control	526
Post Event Viewing	1054
Town Link	337



This page is intentionally left blank

By virtue of paragraph(s) 3 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A
of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted

This page is intentionally left blank